

KEK-TH-355
 KEK preprint 92-212
 UT-634
 February 1993

Quantum R^2 Gravity in Two Dimensions

Hikaru KAWAI^{1*} and Ryuichi NAKAYAMA^{2†}

¹ Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
 Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

² National Laboratory for High Energy Physics(KEK),
 Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Abstract

Two-dimensional quantum gravity with an R^2 term is investigated in the continuum framework. It is shown that the partition function for small area A is highly suppressed by an exponential factor $\exp\{-2\pi(1-h)^2/(m^2A)\}$, where $1/m^2$ is the coefficient (times 32π) of R^2 and h is the genus of the surface. Although positivity is violated, at a short distance scale ($\ll 1/m$) surfaces are smooth and the problem of the branched polymer is avoided.

*kawai@tkyvx.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

†nakayama@theory.kek.jp

Great progress in the formulation of 2d quantum gravity has been made since the equivalence of 2d quantum gravity and dynamical triangulation (DT)[1] was established. [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] Conformally invariant matters with Virasoro central charge $c_M \leq 1$ can be consistently coupled to 2d gravity [8] [9] and correlation functions computed in both continuum and DT approaches coincide.[10] The partition function for fixed area A is given by [8]

$$Z(A) = \text{const. } A^{\gamma_{str}(c_M, h)-3} e^{-\frac{\mu}{2\pi}A}, \quad (1)$$

where γ_{str} is the string susceptibility [8] [9]

$$\gamma_{str}(c_M, h) = \frac{1}{12}[c_M - 25 - \sqrt{(25 - c_M)(1 - c_M)}](1 - h) + 2, \quad (2)$$

h is the number of handles of the closed orientable surface and μ is the renormalized cosmological constant. Note that γ_{str} is real for $c_M \leq 1$.

If $c_M > 1$, however, γ_{str} becomes imaginary and the continuum approach fails in quantization of 2d gravity. The system is in a branched polymer phase and a full-fledged surface theory has yet to be found. However, there have been several attempts to circumvent the $c_M = 1$ barrier, *e.g.*, the extrinsic curvature term, W gravity, quantum groups, *etc.* In this letter we will consider the R^2 gravity theory. As is well-known, surfaces have many spikes corresponding to large local fluctuations. At the ends of spikes large scalar curvature R is localized. To smooth out surfaces we have to disperse localized curvature all over the surface. Adding the R^2 term to the action will suppress wild fluctuations of R .¹ We will investigate the R^2 gravity within the framework of conformally invariant field theory and show that the R^2 term affects the short distance property of surfaces and the problem of the branched polymer is avoided.

We will consider a gravity theory described by an action

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{4m^2} R^2 + 4\mu_0 \right), \quad (3)$$

where R is the scalar curvature, μ_0 the bare cosmological constant and m a coupling constant of mass dimension 1. This is a fourth-order derivative theory and rather difficult to work with. We will instead introduce an auxiliary field χ and define our theory by an action:²

$$S_\chi(\chi; g) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (-iR\chi + m^2\chi^2 + 4\mu_0). \quad (4)$$

¹This term was first introduced in [11].

²Gaussian integration over χ in the conformal gauge (7) will formally yield a factor $\prod_x \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x)\}$, which is equal to $\exp\{\frac{-1}{48\pi}S_L(\phi; \hat{g})\}$ when evaluated by using Heat kernel method. This cancels RHS of eq (14) hence the theories (3) and (4) are equivalent.

The partition function is given by

$$Z = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}g \mathcal{D}\chi \mathcal{D}X}{\text{vol}(\text{Diff})} e^{-S_\chi(\chi;g) - S_M(X^i;g)}, \quad (5)$$

where $S_M(X^i;g)$ is the matter action given by

$$S_M(X^i;g) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu X^i \partial_\nu X^i. \quad (6)$$

Here $X^i (i = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ is a scalar field taking values in $\mathbf{R}^d$. The action and the integration measure in the partition function (5) are invariant under diffeomorphisms. Hence the measure is divided by the volume of the diffeomorphisms, which is denoted by $\text{vol}(\text{Diff})$.

In the conformal gauge we set

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x; \tau) e^{\phi(x)}, \quad (7)$$

where $\phi(x)$ is a conformal mode and $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x; \tau)$ is a background metric which depends on additional parameters, moduli τ . The integration measure $\mathcal{D}_g X$ is induced from the following metric in the functional space of X^i

$$\|\delta X^i\|_g^2 = \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (\delta X^i)^2 = \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^\phi (\delta X^i)^2. \quad (8)$$

Although $S_M(X^i;g) = S_M(X^i;\hat{g})$, *i.e.* S_M is independent of $\phi(x)$, $\mathcal{D}_g X$ depends on $\phi(x)$ via conformal anomaly

$$\mathcal{D}_g X = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} X \exp\left\{\frac{c_M}{48\pi} S_L(\phi; \hat{g})\right\}. \quad (9)$$

Here $c_M = d$ and $S_L(\phi; \hat{g})$ is the Liouville action:

$$S_L(\phi; \hat{g}) = \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \hat{R} \phi + 2\mu_1 e^\phi \right). \quad (10)$$

The measure $\mathcal{D}_g g$ is defined by the metric

$$\|\delta g\|_g^2 = \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (g^{\mu\nu} g^{\lambda\rho} + a_0 g^{\mu\lambda} g^{\nu\rho}) \delta g_{\mu\lambda} \delta g_{\nu\rho}, \quad (11)$$

where a_0 is a constant. This induces the following metric in the functional space of ϕ

$$\|\delta\phi\|_g^2 = 2(1 + 2a_0) \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^\phi (\delta\phi)^2 \propto \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (\delta\phi)^2. \quad (12)$$

This metric is identical with the one in eq(8), and we have

$$\mathcal{D}_g \phi = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} \phi \exp\left\{\frac{1}{48\pi} S_L(\phi; \hat{g})\right\}. \quad (13)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_g \chi = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} \chi \exp\left\{\frac{1}{48\pi} S_L(\phi; \hat{g})\right\}, \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_g b \mathcal{D}_g c = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} b \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} c \exp\left\{\frac{-26}{48\pi} S_L(\phi; \hat{g})\right\}, \quad (15)$$

where $b (= b_{\mu\nu})$ and $c (= c^\mu)$ are the reparametrization ghosts.

The partition function (5) now takes the form

$$Z = \int d\tau \int \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} \phi \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} \chi \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} X \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} b \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}} c \exp\{-S_0(\phi, \chi; \hat{g}) - S_M(X^i; \hat{g}) - S_{gh}(b, c; \hat{g})\}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$S_0(\phi, \chi; \hat{g}) = S_\chi(\chi; e^\phi \hat{g}) - \frac{d-24}{48\pi} S_L(\phi; \hat{g}), \quad (17)$$

and $S_{gh}(b, c; \hat{g})$ is the action for the ghosts. By using

$$\sqrt{g} R = \sqrt{\hat{g}} (\hat{R} - \hat{\nabla}^\mu \hat{\nabla}_\mu \phi), \quad (18)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(\phi, \chi; \hat{g}) = & \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \{ \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} (-i \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \chi + \frac{24-d}{12} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi) \\ & - i \hat{R} \chi + \frac{24-d}{6} \hat{R} \phi + m^2 e^\phi \chi^2 + 4\mu e^\phi \}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The last two terms should be renormalized. To fix the forms of renormalized operators we note that the partition function (16) should be invariant under the transformation given by [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) & \rightarrow \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) e^{\sigma(x)}, \\ \phi(x) & \rightarrow \phi(x) - \sigma(x), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

because the original theory (5) is defined in terms of ϕ and $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ through the product $e^{\phi(x)} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x)$. It is easy to check that if $m^2 = \mu = 0$, eq(16) is invariant under the transformation (20). Therefore the terms $\sqrt{\hat{g}} e^\phi \chi^2$ and $\sqrt{\hat{g}} e^\phi$ should be renormalized so that they are invariant separately. Equivalently we may regard these terms as perturbations and demand that these operators have conformal weight (1,1). The unperturbed ($m^2 = \mu = 0$) theory is conformally invariant and the stress tensor T_{zz} is given by

$$T_{zz} = \frac{i}{2} : \partial_z \chi \partial_z \phi : - \frac{24-d}{24} : (\partial_z \phi)^2 : - \frac{i}{2} \partial_z^2 \chi + \frac{24-d}{12} \partial_z^2 \phi. \quad (21)$$

The operator product expansion (OPE) of T_{zz} can be computed by using

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(z) \chi(w) &= -\frac{24-d}{3} \ln(z-w), \\ \chi(z) \phi(w) &= -2i \ln(z-w), \\ \phi(z) \phi(w) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and we find that the central charge of Virasoro algebra generated by T_{zz} is given by $26 - d$, which indeed cancels the central charges of the matter and ghosts.

Instead of renormalizing $\sqrt{\hat{g}}e^\phi$ and $\sqrt{\hat{g}}e^\phi\chi^2$ separately, we will consider a general operator

$$V_n^{(0)}(\phi, \chi) = \sqrt{\hat{g}}e^\phi\chi^n. \quad (23)$$

To renormalize $V_n^{(0)}$ we consider the following vertex operator

$$V(\phi, \chi; \alpha, \beta) =: e^{\alpha\phi + i\beta\chi} :, \quad (24)$$

whose conformal weight is found, by using eqs (21) and (22), to be

$$\Delta_0(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + 2\alpha\beta + \frac{24-d}{6}\beta^2. \quad (25)$$

Demanding $\Delta_0(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ yields

$$\alpha = \alpha(\beta) = \frac{1 - \frac{24-d}{6}\beta^2}{1 + 2\beta} = 1 - 2\beta + \frac{d}{24} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-2\beta)^n, \quad (26)$$

and by expanding $V(\phi, \chi; \alpha(\beta), \beta)$ in powers of β we obtain an infinite set of (1,1) operators $V_n(\phi, \chi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi, \chi; \alpha(\beta), \beta) &= \sqrt{\hat{g}} : \exp\{\phi + \beta(i\chi - 2\phi) + \frac{d}{24} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-2\beta)^n \phi\} :, \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\beta)^n}{n!} V_n(\phi, \chi). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Renormalization modifies $V_n^{(0)}(\phi, \chi)$ into $V_n(\phi, \chi)$, the first few of which read

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(\phi, \chi) &= \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^\phi :, \\ V_1(\phi, \chi) &= \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^\phi (\chi + 2i\phi) :, \\ V_2(\phi, \chi) &= \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^\phi \{(\chi + 2i\phi)^2 - \frac{d}{3}\phi\} :, \\ V_3(\phi, \chi) &= \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^\phi \{(\chi + 2i\phi)^3 - d(\chi + 2i\phi)\phi - 2id\phi\} :. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The cosmological term is not renormalized because $\phi(z)\phi(w) = 0$. By taking into account renormalization of $\sqrt{\hat{g}}e^\phi\chi^2$ and $\sqrt{\hat{g}}e^\phi$ and defining a new field F according to

$$F = \chi + 2i\phi, \quad (29)$$

we rewrite the action (19) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S(\phi, F; \hat{g}) &= S_0(\phi, F - 2i\phi; \hat{g}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \{ \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} (-i\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu F - \frac{d}{12} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi) \\ &\quad - i\hat{R}F + \frac{12-d}{6} \hat{R}\phi + m^2 : e^\phi (F^2 - \frac{d}{3}\phi) : + 4\mu e^\phi \}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The partition function for fixed area A is given by

$$Z(A; m, \mu) = \int d\tau \int \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}\phi \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}F \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}X \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}b \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}c \delta\left(\int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}}e^{\phi} - A\right) \exp\{-S(\phi, F; \hat{g}) - S_M(X^i; \hat{g}) - S_{gh}(b, c; \hat{g})\}. \quad (31)$$

We integrate over the zero mode of ϕ . We define

$$\phi(x) = \tilde{\phi}(x) + \phi_0, \quad (32)$$

where ϕ_0 is a constant. By using

$$\int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R} = 8\pi(1-h) \quad (33)$$

(h is the number of handles) and

$$\delta\left(\int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}}e^{\phi} - A\right) = \frac{1}{A} \delta(\phi_0 + \ln\{\frac{1}{A} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}}e^{\tilde{\phi}}\}), \quad (34)$$

we integrate over ϕ_0 to obtain

$$Z(A; m, \mu) = A^{-1+\frac{d-12}{6}(1-h)} A^{\frac{d}{24\pi}m^2A} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}\mu A} Y(m^2A). \quad (35)$$

Here $Y(m^2A)$ is defined by the following integral

$$\begin{aligned} Y(m^2A) = & \int d\tau \int \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}\tilde{\phi} \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}F \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}X \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}b \mathcal{D}_{\hat{g}}c (G[\tilde{\phi}])^{\frac{12-d}{6}(1-h)-\frac{d}{24\pi}m^2A} \\ & \exp[-\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \{\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(-i\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu F - \frac{d}{12} \partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu \tilde{\phi}) - i\hat{R}F \\ & + \frac{12-d}{6} \hat{R}\tilde{\phi}\} - \frac{1}{8\pi} m^2A (G[\tilde{\phi}])^{-1} \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^{\tilde{\phi}} \{F^2 - \frac{d}{3}\tilde{\phi}\} : \\ & - S_M(X^i; \hat{g}) - S_{gh}(b, c; \hat{g})], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where

$$G[\tilde{\phi}] = \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{\tilde{\phi}}. \quad (37)$$

We will show below that

$$Y(m^2A) \sim \text{const.} \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi}{m^2A}(1-h)^2\right\} \quad \text{for } m^2A \rightarrow 0. \quad (38)$$

From eqs (35) and (38) we obtain the following asymptotic form for $Z(A; m, \mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(A; m, \mu) \sim & \text{const.} A^{\gamma_{str}(h, m^2A)-3} \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi}{m^2A}(1-h)^2\right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}(\mu+b_0m^2)A} \\ & \text{for } m^2A \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where b_0 is a constant and

$$\gamma_{str}(h, m^2 A) = 2 + \frac{d-12}{6}(1-h) + \frac{d}{24\pi} m^2 A \quad (40)$$

is the string susceptibility. Note that this depends on the area A and γ_{str} is real for any value of d .

To estimate the limit $m^2 A \rightarrow 0$, we have to pay special attention to the F zero mode, F_0 . If we formally set $m^2 A = 0$ in eq (36), the potential for F_0 is given by

$$-\frac{i}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{R} F_0 = -i(1-h)F_0. \quad (41)$$

This is not bounded even if F_0 is analytically continued to iF_0 and the integral is not well-defined. In order to take the correct $m^2 A \rightarrow 0$ limit, we set

$$F(x) = \tilde{F}(x) + \frac{4\pi i(1-h)}{m^2 A}, \quad (42)$$

and substitute this into eq(36). Then F dependent part of eq (36) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{\hat{g}} (-i\hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu F - i\hat{R}F) \quad - \quad \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{m^2 A}{G[\tilde{\phi}]} \int d^2 x \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^{\tilde{\phi}} F^2 : \\ & = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{\hat{g}} (-i\hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu \tilde{F} \quad - \quad i : \tilde{F} \{ \hat{R} - \frac{8\pi(1-h)e^{\tilde{\phi}}}{G[\tilde{\phi}]} \} :) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{m^2 A}{G[\tilde{\phi}]} \int d^2 x \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^{\tilde{\phi}} \tilde{F}^2 : \quad - \quad \frac{2\pi(1-h)^2}{m^2 A}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The first line on the RHS is invariant under the transformation

$$\tilde{F}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{F}(x) + \text{const}, \quad (44)$$

and so the volume of F_0 integration factors out in the limit $m^2 A \rightarrow 0$.

This result can also be derived as follows. By formally integrating over F in eq (30) we obtain an effective action (see footnote 2),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left[\frac{1-d}{12} \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{13-d}{6} \hat{R} \phi - \frac{d}{3} m^2 \phi e^\phi + 4\mu' e^\phi \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \frac{1}{4m^2} \sqrt{g} R^2. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ we end up with a constraint $R = 0$, which is inconsistent with $\int d^2 x \sqrt{g} R = 8\pi(1-h)$. Indeed a correct constraint has to be

$$R = \rho = \frac{8\pi}{A}(1-h). \quad (46)$$

Hence we obtain ³

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{32\pi m^2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} R^2 &= \frac{1}{32\pi m^2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} [(R - \rho)^2 + 2\rho R - \rho^2], \\
&= \frac{1}{32\pi m^2} \left[\int d^2x \sqrt{g} (R - \rho)^2 + 16\pi\rho(1 - h) - \rho^2 A \right], \\
&= \frac{1}{32\pi m^2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (R - \rho)^2 + \frac{2\pi(1 - h)^2}{m^2 A}.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

As for the $m^2 A \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we cannot directly investigate eq(36) because the χ mass term is a perturbation. Actually the potential in eq (30) (after analytical continuation $F \rightarrow iF$)

$$\hat{R}F + \frac{12 - d}{6} \hat{R}\phi + m^2 : e^\phi (-F^2 - \frac{d}{3}\phi) : + 4\mu e^\phi \tag{48}$$

is not bounded below for $F \rightarrow \pm\infty$ or in the IR limit $\phi \rightarrow +\infty$ if $d > 0$. By a physical consideration, however, we expect that the scalar field χ with infinite mass will decouple from the rest of the system. In other words the R^2 term will not affect the long distance physics and the asymptotic behavior of $Z(A; m, \mu)$ will be given by

$$Z(A; m, \mu) \sim \text{const. } A^{\gamma_{str}^\infty} e^{-\frac{\mu}{2\pi}A} \quad \text{for } m^2 A \rightarrow \infty, \tag{49}$$

where γ_{str}^∞ is the string susceptibility of 2d gravity without the R^2 term[8] [9]:

$$\gamma_{str}^\infty = \frac{1}{12} [d - 25 - \sqrt{(25 - d)(1 - d)}] (1 - h) + 2. \tag{50}$$

From the above results (39) and (49), we can draw the following conclusion. Due to the exponential factor in eq (39) the partition function for $A \ll 1/m^2$ is highly suppressed. Therefore at a length scale smaller than $1/m$ surfaces will be smooth. On the contrary at a length scale much larger than $1/m$ surfaces will have spiky structures and if $d > 1$, they will look like branched polymers.

We can study roughness of surfaces by marking a point P on a surface Σ and considering a connected region consisting of points within geodesic distance r from P. If Σ is flat, this region will be a disk. If Σ is fluctuating and has spikes, this region will have many boundaries. Recently the number of boundaries whose length is in between l and $l + dl$, $f(l, r)dl$, was derived in pure gravity without the R^2 term.[14]

$$f(l, r)dl \sim \frac{1}{r^2} e^{-l/r^2} \left[\left(\frac{l}{r^2} \right)^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{l}{r^2} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{14}{3} \left(\frac{l}{r^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] dl \tag{51}$$

This is singular for $l \rightarrow 0$ and so there are an infinite number of very thin spikes. We find that the number of boundaries $\int_0^\infty dl f(l, r)$ and the total length of boundaries

³When $m \rightarrow 0$, $(32\pi m^2)^{-1} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (R - \rho)^2$ is equivalent to $\int d^2x \sqrt{g} \Phi (R - \rho)$, where Φ is a Lagrange multiplier field. The latter action was studied in [12]. Since $\rho = 8\pi(1 - h)/\int d^2x \sqrt{g}$ (eq(46)), this action is non-local in contrast with $(32\pi m^2)^{-1} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} R^2$.

$\int_0^\infty dl f(l, r)l$ are divergent. If we introduce the R^2 term to the action, spikes of size l smaller than $1/m$ may be suppressed in the same fashion as surfaces of area A smaller than $1/m^2$ are. This deserves a further study.

Finally let us give a few remarks.

1. R^2 gravity theory does not satisfy positivity. This can be easily seen by analytic continuation $\chi \rightarrow i\chi$. We have a particle of mass $\sim m$ with a wrong-sign kinetic term.
2. If we consider a χ potential $V(\chi)$ different from $m^2\chi^2$, we obtain a model in a different universality class. For an action

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (R\chi - m^2\chi^{2p} + 4\mu_0), \quad (52)$$

the partition function behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(A) &\sim A^{\gamma'_{str}-3} \exp\left\{-\frac{2p-1}{2p}(1-h)\left\{\frac{4\pi(1-h)}{pm^2A}\right\}^{1/(2p-1)}\right\} e^{-\frac{\mu}{2\pi}A} \\ &\text{for } m^2A \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

3. We can slightly generalize the model(4) by introducing a kinetic term for χ

$$S_\chi(\chi; g, \xi) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{4\xi^2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi + m^2 \chi^2 - iR\chi + 4\mu_0 \right), \quad (54)$$

where ξ is a parameter and in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ this action coincides with eq (4).⁴

Quantization of the model(54) proceeds in a parallel fashion with the previous analysis of eq (4). The main difference is that the cosmological term is renormalized $\sqrt{\hat{g}} : \exp(\alpha\phi) :$ and there are two possible values of $\alpha = \alpha_\pm$, where

$$\alpha_\pm = \frac{1}{12} [24 - d + 12\xi^2 \pm \sqrt{(12\xi^2 - d)(24 + 12\xi^2 - d)}]. \quad (55)$$

The values of $\alpha_\pm$ are real and positive if

$$d \leq 12\xi^2 \quad \text{or} \quad d \geq 12\xi^2 + 24. \quad (56)$$

We will restrict attention to the region $d \leq 12\xi^2$ which is accessible from the semiclassical limit. In the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ or $d \rightarrow -\infty$, α_- approaches 1 but α_+

⁴ This model has a geometrical meaning. In the previous argument the world-sheet geometry was assumed to be torsionless. The model (54) can be shown to be identical with the R^2 gravity theory with torsion[13] with the spin connection ω_μ integrated out.

blows up. Therefore we should choose α_- as the correct value. The renormalized χ mass term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 \sqrt{\hat{g}} : e^{\alpha_- \phi} &= \left[\left\{ \chi - 2i\xi^2 \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{24 - d + 12\xi^2}{-d + 12\xi^2}} \right) \phi \right\}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{4\xi^2 d}{-d + 12\xi^2} \sqrt{\frac{24 - d + 12\xi^2}{-d + 12\xi^2}} \phi \right] : .
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

By an analysis of the partition function for fixed area, $Z(A; \xi, m, \mu)$, we obtain an asymptotic form for $m^2 A \rightarrow \infty$ which is the same as eq(39) with the string susceptibility γ_{str} (40) modified according to

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{str}(d, h, \xi, m^2 A) &= 2 - \frac{2}{\alpha_-} \left(\frac{24 - d + 12\xi^2}{12} - \xi^2 \sqrt{\frac{24 - d + 12\xi^2}{-d + 12\xi^2}} \right) (1 - h) \\
&\quad + \frac{\xi^2 d}{2\pi\alpha_-(-d + 12\xi^2)} \sqrt{\frac{24 - d + 12\xi^2}{-d + 12\xi^2}} m^2 A.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

We are grateful to Y. Okamoto for discussions and to N. Kawamoto for comments.

References

- [1] V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, Phys. Lett. **66** (1991) 2051;
J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus and J. Fröhlich, Nucl. Phys. **B257** [FS14] (1985) 433;
F. David, Nucl. Phys. **B257** [FS14] (1985) 543.
- [2] E. Brézin and V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 144;
M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 635;
D. J. Gross and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127.
- [3] M. Douglas, Phys. Lett. **B238** (1990) 176.
- [4] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 1385;
Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992) 371; Commun. Math. Phys. **148** (1992) 101.
- [5] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 435.
- [6] A. Jevicki and T. Yoneya, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A5** (1990) 1615;
P. Ginsparg, M. Goulian, M. Plesser and J. Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. **B342** (1990) 539.
- [7] T. Yoneya, Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 623; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 4015;
J. Goeree, Nucl. Phys. **B358** (1991) 737.
- [8] J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. **B321** (1989) 509;
F. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 1651.
- [9] V.G. Knizhnik, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 819.
- [10] M. Goulian and M. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 2051;
Y. Kitazawa, Phys. Lett. **265B** (1991) 262;
N. Sakai and Y. Tanii, Prog. Theor. Phys. **86** (1991) 547;
A. Gupta, S. Trivedi and M. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 475.
- [11] D.V. Boulatov, V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. **B275** [FS17] (1986) 641.
- [12] R. Jackiw, in : Quantum theory of Gravity, ed. S. Christensen (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984) p.403;
C. Teitelboim, in : Quantum Theory of Gravity, ed. S. Christensen (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984) p.327;
A.H. Chamseddine, Phys. Lett. **256B** (1991) 379.

- [13] M.O. Katanaev and I.V. Volovich, Phys. Lett. **175B** (1986) 413;
W. Kummer and D.J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 3628.
- [14] H. Kawai, N. Kawamoto, T. Mogami and Y. Watabiki, *Transfer matrix formalism for two-dimensional quantum gravity and fractal structures of space-time*, Tokyo preprint UT-633 (1993).